LEP Trieste 27 Aprile Monica Pepe Altarelli INFN Frascati

Search for single top production with the ALEPH detector

- Motivation
- Analysis
- Systematics
- Results
- Comparison with CDF and DELPHI

<u>Search for single top</u> <u>production via FCNC</u>

 $e^+e^- \rightarrow t\overline{q} \ (\overline{q} = \overline{c}, \overline{u})$

At tree level SM, there are no vertices for these FCNC processes.

FCNC appear at loop level



Very small cross section (10⁻⁹ fb) (Huang,Wu,Zhu)
Suppressed by loop + GIM
Extensions of SM could lead to
enhancement of such transitions The FCNC vertices tcV (V = γ ,Z) probed in

top-charm associated production
 rare decays of t-quark

Existing limits

$\mathsf{CDF}: \quad p\overline{p} \to t\overline{t}X$

 $\begin{array}{ll} BR(t \rightarrow c\gamma) + BR(t \rightarrow u\gamma) < 3.2\% & 95\% \ CL \\ BR(t \rightarrow cZ) + BR(t \rightarrow uZ) < 33\% & 95\% \ CL \end{array}$

SM: BR(t \rightarrow c γ , cZ) = 5.2 10⁻¹³, 1.5 10⁻¹³

very weak constraint: N₊₊~10²



MODELLING

Top decays rapidly via $t \rightarrow W + b$ (10⁻²⁴ sec) \implies no top hadrons formed

$$e^+e^- \rightarrow \overline{c} t$$

 $\downarrow bW$
 $\downarrow ff'$

- b & c quarks joined by string to form a colour singlet
- b allowed to develop parton shower to take into account hard gluon emission

Kinematics

top produced close to threshold

— characteristic kinematic properties of final states

$$\begin{split} \mathbf{E}_t &\cong \mathbf{m}_t & \mathbf{E}_{\mathbf{c}(\mathbf{u})} &\cong \sqrt{s} - \mathbf{m}_t \\ \mathbf{E}_b &\cong \frac{\mathbf{m}_t^2 - \mathbf{m}_w^2 + \mathbf{m}_b^2}{2\mathbf{m}_t} & \mathbf{E}_w &\cong \frac{\mathbf{m}_t^2 + \mathbf{m}_w^2 - \mathbf{m}_b^2}{2\mathbf{m}_t} \end{split}$$

 $W \rightarrow qq'$ $\downarrow \quad \ell \nu$ c - jet b - jet c - jet U - jet W - jet W - jet W - jet



WW selection at 189-> 200 GeV

189 GeV 174 pb -1	
192 GeV 29 pb ⁻¹	196 GeV 86 pb-1
200 GeV 80 pb ⁻¹	202 GeV 42 pb ⁻¹

MC samples

Background: L> 1500 pb⁻¹: WW, ZZ, Zee, Wev, Zvv, qq(γ) fully simulated at 189-196-200-202 Signal: 2000 events M_{top}=174 (169, 179) GeV fully simulated at all energies

Preselection

Rejects $\gamma\gamma$, dileptons and radiative Zreturns: N_{charged}(Jet) >0 M_{tot} > 50 GeV N_{charged} > 8 E_{tot} > 100 GeV 8 GeV |P, | < 55 GeV Elep MC DT 189 6742 6687 192 1123 1167 2901 2957 196 200 3045 2980 202 1457 1458 Tot 15268 15249

Good agreement between Monte
 Carlo and Data for the most relevant
 variables at all energies

Selection

Cuts optimized by minimizing expected 95% CL cross section upper limit in absence of signal at 200 GeV for a L=200 pb⁻¹ (at √s~200 GeV largest sensitivity to the single top production cross-section)

Same selection used for all energies: most important cuts based on invariant quantities

Loose cuts for non invariant quantities

Selection

\Box Identify one isolated e or μ



Force to 3 jets 1C kinematic fit

 \Box No isolated e or μ



Force to 4 jets 4C kinematic fit

Selection cuts:

Inclusive combination of two separate analyses: 3-jets and 4-jets

🔷 3-jets

- isolated and energetic e or μ (E > 10 GeV)
- b-tag for b-jet > 2
- 55 GeV< E(b-jet)_{tcm}
- 70 GeV < $m_{\ell v}$ < 90 GeV
- 160 GeV < m_t < 187 GeV
- $-m_{qq} < 70 \, GeV$ ($m_{qq} = m_{bc}$)

🕨 4-jets

- b-tag for b-jet > 5.8
- b-tag for second more energetic jet < 6
- 55 GeV< E(b-jet) < 80 GeV
- 72 GeV < m_{qq} < 91 GeV (m_{qq} = m_W)
- 0.57 < p_{qq}/E_{qq} < 0.73
- Thrust < 0.90
 - Γ , 1/F Γ , 1/

3-jet variables



4-jet variables



Results

$\sqrt{s}(GeV)$	189	192	196	200	202
$L(pb^{-1})$	174	29	80	86	42
	lept had	lept had	lept had	lept had	lept had
${f N}_{WW}^{Bck} {f N}_{4f}^{Bck} {f N}_{qq}^{Bck}$	2.1 4.50.1 1.20.1 10.6	0.3 0.7 0.0 0.2 0.0 1.8	1.33.90.00.80.15.5	0.9 5.8 0.1 0.8 0.1 3.7	0.7 2.6 0.0 0.4 0.1 2.0
N_{tot}^{Bck} N^{Obs}	2.2 16.34 21	0.3 2.7 0 5	1.4 10.2 1 13	1.0 10.3 1 9	0.8 5.0 0 4
£(%)	7.0 17	6.2 16	5.5 15	4.9 13	3.5 14
$\sigma_{95}^{exp}(pb)$	0.4 0.3	2.1 1.2	1.0 0.8	1.0 0.8	2.7 1.1
$\sigma_{95}^{\mathrm{m}}(\mathrm{pb})$	0.6 0.5	1.7 1.7	0.9 1.0	1.0 0.7	2.0 0.9

6 candidates selected by lept. selection (5.7 expected) 52 by hadronic selection (44.5 exp)

Background Systematics

One cut at a time applied after preselection $\delta \varepsilon_{BKG} = (\varepsilon_{Data} - \varepsilon_{MC}) / \varepsilon_{MC}$



R_b from Z calibration data (single tag)





Systematic uncertainties

 All δε _{BKG} within ≈ 3% except b-tag $\delta \epsilon_{BKG} \approx 10\%$ • R_b with '99 ('98) Z data 6% (12%) larger than LEP1 value (for $\varepsilon_{\rm h}$ ~30%) • Uncertainty on ε_{signal} dominated by δm_{top} (~10-30% effect) Limit setting approach: Expected background reduced by 10% • E_{signal}: 5% effect (b-tag) for each m_{top} Limits evaluated for m_{top}±5 GeV

Combination of results

- Results at 189 -> 202 GeV combined in
 - $K\gamma K_z \text{ plane } (M_{top}=174\pm5 \text{ GeV})$ using $\sigma (K\gamma , K_z, m_{top}, s) \text{ BR(t->bW)}$ BR(t->Z(γ)c) subtracted from efficiency
- Likelihood-ratio method used:
 - Combined (2 channels + 5 E_{CM})
- Combined exclusions compared with
 CDF limits

Limits on $K_{\gamma}-K_z$







New analysis by DELPHI (DELPHI 2000-032 CONF 351, March '00)



New analysis by DELPHI (DELPHI 2000-032 CONF 351, March '00)

√s (GeV)	192	196	200	202
L(pb ⁻¹)	30	78	84	41
ε _{3j} (%)	8	7	5	5
	6	6	5	4
N _{exp}	0.6	1.7	1.9	0.9
	0.3	1.4	1.0	0.8
N _{obs}	1 0	0 1	2 1	0
σ _{exp} (pb)	1.6	0.9	1.2	2.0
	2.1	<u>1.0</u>	<u>1.0</u>	<u>2.7</u>
ε _{4j} (%)	8.4	7.3	7.9	8.1
	16	15	13	14
N _{exp}	1.5	4.0	5.0	2.5
	2.7	10.2	10.3	5.0
N _{obs}	1	4	2	5
	5	13	9	4
$\sigma_{exp}(pb)$	1.8	1.1	1.0	1.6

DELPHI 2000-032 CONF 351 INTRODUCTION

 $e^+e^- \rightarrow \bar{t}q + t\bar{q}$ where q can stand either for u- or c-quark. The advantage of this specific FCNC consists in the fact that the t-quark can decay into Wb only. This can produce The energy of the last LEP run ($\sqrt{s} = 192 - 202$ GeV) is well above tc production threshold and gives the possibility to perform a search for FCNC in the specific process some distinct signatures both in leptonic and hadronic W decay modes. The numerical estimations for the expected number of events taking into account the limits on anomalous vertices recently set by the CDF collaboration [5] can be found in [6].



Conclusions

- ~411 pb⁻¹ at E_{cm} 189-202 GeV analyzed
- No signal of single-top FCNC
- Results used to set limits in Kz-Kγ plane
- Kz CDF exclusion improved
- □ ALEPH 95% CL exclusion on K_z implies
 - $BR(t \rightarrow Zc) < 22\% (K\gamma = 0, m_{+}=174 \text{ GeV})$
- (CDF: BR(t -> Zc) < 33%)
- □ No improvement for $m_t = 179 \text{ GeV}$ (σ too low!)